VIRTUAL MONEY IN VIRTUAL WORLDS

ALL WORK AND NO PLAY?

17TH MARCH 2005 DIGITAL MONEY FORUM

PROFESSOR RICHARD A. BARTLE UNIVERSITY OF ESSEX

INTRODUCTION

- OK, SO I'M NOT A BANKER, MARKETER OR ETAILER
 - I'M A COMPUTER GAMES DESIGNER
 - · I GUESS YOU COULD SAY I'M AN ACADEMIC, TOO ...
- · MY SPECIALIST FIELD IS VIRTUAL WORLDS
 - DON'T WORRY, AN EXPLANATION FOLLOWS SHORTLY!
- RIGHT NOW, VIRTUAL WORLDS ARE IN CONFLICT OVER COMMODIFICATION
 - PEOPLE ARE BUYING NON-EXISTENT STUFF FROM OTHER PEOPLE WHO DON'T OWN IT
 - OTHER PLAYERS DISLIKE THIS ...

DEFINITION

- VIRTUAL WORLDS ARE ONLINE COMPUTER GAMES
 - MMORPGS MASSIVELY MULTIPLAYER ONLINE ROLE-PLAYING GAMES
- · NOTE: ONLINE GAMES ARE NOT ONLINE GAMING
 - I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT GAMBLING HERE
- THEY SUPPORT LARGE NUMBERS OF PLAYERS
 SIMULTANEOUSLY
- THE PLAYERS USE CLIENT SOFTWARE TO CONTACT SERVERS OPERATED BY THE GAME'S DEVELOPER

HISTORY

VIRTUAL WORLDS BEGAN WITH MUD1 IN 1978

Narrow road between lands.
You are stood on a narrow road between The Land and whence you came.
To the north and south are the small foothills of a pair of majestic mountains, with a large wall running round. To the west the road continues, where in the distance you can see a thatched cottage opposite an ancient cemetery. The way out is to the east, where a shroud of mist covers the secret pass by which you entered The Land. It is raining.

*W

Narrow road.
You are on a narrow east-west road with a forest to the north and Gorse scrub to the south. It is raining. A splendid necklace lies on the ground.

*

- TEXT-BASED, UP TO 36 PLAYERS SIMULTANEOUSLY
- CREATED BY TWO STUDENTS AT ESSEX UNIVERSITY
 - ROY TRUBSHAW AND RICHARD BARTLE (THAT'S ME)

ASCII GRAPHICS

· GAMES SOON APPEARED WITH primitive GRAPHICS

· ISLAND OF KESMAI, 1981

20 GRAPHICS

· 20 GRAPHICAL GAMES SHOWED UP IN THE EARLY 1990S



KINGDOM OF DRAKKAR, 1992

• BUT IN 1994 (IMMEDIATELY PRE-WWW), 10% OF ALL INTERNET TRAFFIC BELONGED TO TEXT MUDS

ULTIMA ONLINE

· THINGS CHANGED WITH ULTIMA ONLINE, 1997



STATS

- PEOPLE PAY A MONTHLY FEE TO PLAY ULTIMA ONLINE
 - \$12.99 A MONTH AT THE MOMENT
- IT GARNERED 100,000 SUBSCRIBERS WITHIN A YEAR
- NOW, 8 YEARS LATER, IT HAS SOMETHING LIKE 170,000 SUBSCRIBERS
 - AFTER PEAKING AT 250,000 IN 2003
- · IT STILL TAKES OVER \$2,000,000 A MONTH
 - AND IT'S BEEN RUNNING FOR NEARLY 100 MONTHS!
- UO MADE REGULAR COMPUTER GAMERS NOTICE VIRTUAL WORLDS
 - AND REGULAR COMPUTER GAME DEVELOPERS...

EVERQUEST

· ULTIMA ONLINE RULED UNTIL EVERQUEST, SPRING 1999



STATS

- EVERQUEST HAS AROUND 420,000 SUBSCRIBERS

 THAT'S MORE PEOPLE THAN ICELAND?
- · OVER \$5,000,000 A MONTH IN SUBSCRIPTIONS
- · SUPPORTS ACTION FIGURES AND COMICS





- NEW RELEASES ARE CHALLENGING ITS CROWN
 - WOW 350K, SW:G 275K, EQ2 310K, DAOC 250K, ...

BUT ELSEWHERE...

• EQ IS JUST A PIPSQUEAK COMPARED TO LINEAGE



· LINEAGE (1997) HAS OVER 2 MILLION PLAYERS

STATS

- LINEAGE AND LINEAGE 2 HAVE A COMBINED POPULATION GREATER THAN THAT OF EIRE
 - 2.1 MILLION EACH
- 50% OF THE POPULATION OF SOUTH KOREA HAVE TRIED LINEAGE AT LEAST ONCE
 - POPULATION OF S. KOREA IS ~48 MILLION
- OTHER >1 MILLION FAR EASTERN VIRTUAL WORLDS:
 - LEGEND OF MIR
 - FINAL FANTASY XI
 - MU ONLINE
 - RAGNAROK ONLINE
 - KINGDOM OF THE WINDS

RULES

- GAMES, LIKE LEGAL SYSTEMS, OPERATE USING RULES
- WHILE EVERYONE OBEYS THE RULES, THE GAME WORKS
 - TECHNICAL TERM IS "MAGIC CIRCLE"
- · WHEN YOU BREAK THE RULES, THAT'S CHEATING
- BUT WHO HAS THE AUTHORITY TO MAKE THE RULES?
 - REAL LIFE: "WE, THE PEOPLE"
 - GAMES: "WE, THE PLAYERS"
- GAMES PLAYERS OBEY RULES FOR THE SAME REASON
 CITIZENS DO BECAUSE THEY SET THE RULES
 - ONLY THEY DON'T HAVE AN ARMY TO ENFORCE THEM

PROPERTY

- WHERE DOES COMMERCE COME IN HERE?
- WELL, CHARACTERS IN VIRTUAL WORLDS OWN THINGS WITHIN THE CONTEST OF THE VIRTUAL WORLD
 - SWORDS, HOUSES, MAGIC WANDS, ...
- DO THE PLAYERS OWN THESE THINGS?
- DO THE PLAYERS EVEN OWN THE CHARACTERS?
- AT THE TIME OF WRITING, THERE'S A CHARACTER FROM STAR WARS: GALAXIES FOR SALE ON EBAY FOR \$2.000
 - THIS IS ACTUALLY ABOUT \$500 MORE THAN THE GOING RATE

WIDESPREAD

- · ALSO ON EBAY:
 - GEMSTONE IV LEVEL 96 RANGER \$911
 - WORLD OF WARCRAFT LEVEL 60 ROGUE \$899
 - DARK AGE OF CAMELOT LEVEL 50 (LERIC \$800
 - ANARCHY ONLINE 220 FIXER \$540
 - AND HUNDREDS MORE
- · IN-GAME CURRENCY ALSO FOR SALE
 - EVE ONLINE 3 BILLION ISK \$800
 - SHADOWBANE 100 MILLION GOLD \$700
- THOSE ARE CURRENT BIDS THEY'LL ACTUALLY SELL FOR MORE

OTHER SALES

- THINK ABOUT THIS A MOMENT: PEOPLE ARE PAYING
 REAL MONEY TO BUY IMAGINARY MONEY
- · ALSO IMAGINARY PROPERTY:
 - MU ONLINE THE 2 BEST EXCELLENT RINGS \$450
 - ANARCHY ONLINE ROBUST BACKPACK \$172.50
 - ULTIMA ONLINE HAT OF THE MAGI \$164.99
- AND IMAGINARY REAL ESTATE:
 - ULTIMA ONLINE VENDOR PROPERTY NEXT TO BANK \$450
- IN DECEMBER AN ISLAND FOR SALE IN PROJECT ENTROPIA SOLD FOR \$26,500
 - THAT'S \$26.500

ANALYSIS

- · EBAY IS **NOT** THE MAIN MARKETPLACE
- · IGE HAS 100 PEOPLE IN CUSTOMER SERVICE ALONE
- ITEMBAY MAKES MORE MONEY IN COMMISSIONS THAN ALL THE VIRTUAL WORLDS IT SERVICES DO IN SUBSCRIPTIONS COMBINED!
- IF YOU ADD UP ALL THE WEALTH CREATED BY ALL
 VIRTUAL WORLDS TOGETHER, THEY MAKE FOR A
 COMBINED GDP GREATER THAN THAT OF NAMIBIA
 - THEY'LL OVERTAKE JAMAICA SOMETIME THIS YEAR
- GDP PER CAPITA IS ON A PAR WITH THAT OF RUSSIA

BUT

- THE THING IS, MOST VIRTUAL WORLDS BAN VIRTUAL OBJECT SALES
- · MAIN REASON: IT'S CHEATING
- SUPPOSE 4 OF US ARE PLAYING MONOPOLY
 - THE TOP HAT OFFERS MAYFAIR FOR SALE
 - | OFFER £1,000 FOR IT
 - YOU OFFER £100 FOR IT, PLUS £5 IN REAL MONEY
 - THE TOP HAT ACCEPTS YOUR OFFER
- THE SCOTTIE DOG AND I ARE GOING TO BE VERY UNHAPPY
 - ESPECIALLY IF IT'S HIS SET!

EXCUSES

- "I WANT TO TRADE, YOU WANT TO TRADE, WE BOTH GAIN. WHAT'S NOT TO LOVE?"
- IT RUINS THE GAME FOR THE 90% OF PLAYERS WHO DON'T TRADE IN VIRTUAL GOODS, THAT'S WHAT!
 - IT BREAKS THE MAGIC CIRCLE
- IF LETTING PEOPLE HAVE WHAT THEY WANT WERE HARMLESS, DEVELOPERS WOULD DO IT FOR FREE
 - YOU WANT A KICK-ASS SWORD? HERE, HAVE 10!

BUT

- THIS IS WHY DEVELOPERS **BAN** THE SALE OF OBJECTS/CURRENCY/CHARACTERS/ACCOUNTS
 - EVEN THOUGH THESE OCCUR OUTSIDE THE GAME
 - IN-GAME TRADE USING GAME CURRENCY IS FINE
- BUT IS SUCH A BAN LEGAL?
 - EVERY PLAYER SIGNED AN **EULA** ACCEPTING THE BAN
 - THE PLAYERS **DON'T OWN** WHAT THEY'RE SELLING ANYWAY
- · OR DO THEY?

COMMODIFICATION

- AS FAR AS SOME PEOPLE ARE CONCERNED, IF IT LOOKS LIKE A DUCK, WALKS LIKE A DUCK AND QUACKS LIKE A DUCK, IT'S A DUCK
- VIRTUAL GOODS LOOK LIKE REAL GOODS, ARE TRADED LIKE REAL GOODS, SO A JUDGE MIGHT DECIDE REAL PROPERTY LAWS SHOULD APPLY
 - AND REAL TAX LAWS?
- "THERE WASN'T A LEVEL 50 BATTLEMAGE IN THE BOX WHEN I BOUGHT IT. I MADE IT, SO IT'S MINE"
- UNFORTUNATELY, IF THIS WERE TRUE THEN VIRTUAL WORLDS WOULD DIE

IMPLICATIONS

- IF I BOUGHT A UNIQUE MAGIC SWORD FOR \$1,000 AND THE NEXT DAY THE DEVELOPER CREATED 20,000 IDENTICAL SWORDS, COULD I SUE?
- IF I HAVE INVENTORY I COULD SELL FOR \$250,000 AND THE DEVELOPER ANNOUNCES THEY'RE GOING TO CLOSE THE GAME, CAN I FORCE THEM TO STOP?
 - ON MARCH 15TH 2004, EBAY USER SHAKTI_122 PAID \$3,000 FOR AN ACCOUNT ON **EARTH AND BEYOND**
 - ON MARCH 16TH 2004, ELECTRONIC ARTS ANNOUNCED THEY WERE **CLOSING** EARTH $\not\in$ BEYOND
 - EA ALSO ANNOUNCED THEY WOULD NOT BE LICENSING THE SOFTWARE TO ANYONE

WHY WOULD THIS BE BAD?

- IF PLAYERS COULD SUE UPON LOSING SOME OF THEIR INVESTMENT, VIRTUAL WORLDS COULD NEVER EVOLVE
 - EVERY CHANGE AFFECTS SOMEONE ADVERSELY
 - "NERFING"
- WOULD ROB DESIGNERS OF ARTISTIC FREEDOM.
 - J. K. ROWLING COULD LEGALLY DISTRESS MILLIONS
 BY KILLING OFF MISERABLE WHINER HARRY POTTER
 - WHY CAN'T VIRTUAL WORLD DESIGNERS UPSET A FEW PLAYERS WHO BUILT THEIR VIRTUAL HOUSES ON THE SLOPES OF A VOLCANO?
- WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO DEVELOP A GAME WITH A THEME SUCH AS "ESCAPE FROM COLDITZ"

IT GETS WORSE

- SOME PLAYERS WHO "FARM" GOLD OR OBJECTS CAN
 TIE UP THE MARKET
 - IF YOU WANT SOMETHING, THE ONLY WAY TO GET IT IS FROM ANOTHER PLAYER WHO HAS A PROGRAM RUNNING THE WHOLE TIME GETTING IT ALITEMATICALLY
- PLAYERS WANT THESE PEOPLE BANNED
 - CHINESE ADENA FARMERS IN LINEAGE 2
- YET DARK AGE OF CAMELOT WAS SUED FOR BANNING ACCOUNTS RUN BY BLACK SNOW
 - A COMPANY RUNNING A GOLD FARM OUT OF TIJUANA
 - NEVER WENT TO COURT
 - · BLACK SNOW DIDN'T PAY THEIR LAWYERS..

COTTAGE INDUSTRY

FOR SALE RECENTLY ON EBAY: ONE UO GOLD FARM!



LOATHED AND DESPISED

- MANY PLAYERS LOATHE AND DESPISE COMPANIES SUCH AS IGE
 - THEY REGARD THEM AS "LEECHES", "PARASITES", "THIEVES" ETC.
- IGE HIRED THE WELL-RESPECTED THEMIS GROUP TO IMPROVE THEIR PR THROUGH MARKETING
 - THEMIS PULLED OUT AFTER 3 MONTHS AMID RUMOURS THAT ASSOCIATION WITH IGE WAS HARMING ITS OWN REPUTATION
- YET IGE IS ONE OF THE MORE RESPECTABLE COMMODIFIERS
 - OTHERS ROUTINELY RUIN FREELANCERS BY BUYING STUFF FROM THEM THEN CANCELLING THE PAYPAL PAYMENT

AND YET...

- A FEW VIRTUAL WORLD DEVELOPERS EMBRACE COMMODIFICATION
- · SECOND LIFE IS AT THE FOREFRONT HERE
 - BUT IT'S NOT A GAME
- ACHAEA SELLS OVER 1.5 MILLION ITEMS A YEAR TO ITS OWN PLAYERS
 - AND IT'S A TEXT GAME!
 - IT DOESN'T LET THEM TRADE BETWEEN ONE ANOTHER, THOUGH
- ULTIMA ONLINE WILL FAST-TRACK CHARACTERS THROUGH THE FIRST 25 LEVELS FOR A FEE
 - AND EQ MAKES MILLIONS FROM CHARACTER TRANSFER FEES

RIGHTS OF THE AVATAR I

- RAPH KOSTER'S THOUGHT EXPERIMENT:
 - WHAT IF AVATARS (CHARACTERS) HAD RIGHTS?
- REDRAFTED U.S. BILL OF RIGHTS AND FRANCE'S DECLARATION OF THE RIGHTS OF MAN IN TERMS OF AVATARS
 - WWW.LEGENDMUD.ORG/RAPH/PLAYERRIGHTS.HTML
- · ACTUALLY. AVATARS HAVE NO RIGHTS
 - KOSTER WAS USING THE THOUGHT EXPERIMENT TO SEE WHAT RIGHTS **PLAYERS** HAD
 - · THIS IS THEREFORE AN OFT-MISUNDERSTOOD PAPER!

RIGHTS OF THE AVATAR 2

- THE ORIGINAL DRAFT OF THE PAPER WENT DOWN LIKE A LEAD ZEPPELIN
- KOSTER REWROTE IT AS "ADVICE TO ADMINS"
 - SOMEONE'S FINGER IS ON THE POWER BUTTON
 - WHAT THIS SOMEONE SAYS GOES
 - IF THIS SOMEONE DOESN'T PROVIDE A CODE OF CONDUCT, THEIR PLAYERS DESERVE ALL THEY GET
 - PLAYERS SHOULD BE CONSULTED OVER CHANGES TO THE CODE OF CONDUCT, BUT CAN BE IGNORED
 - CODES OF CONDUCT SHOULD BE FAIR AND SHOULD BE APPLIED FAIRLY

RIGHTS OF THE AVATAR 3

- SO WHAT DOES THIS MEAN IN TERMS OF ACTUAL RIGHTS OF PLAYERS?
 - PLAYERS HAVE RIGHTS IN THE REAL WORLD, OF WHICH THE VW IS A PART
 - IN CONSIDERING RIGHTS, VWS SHOULD ONLY BE THOUGHT OF IN TERMS OF BEING PART OF THE REAL WORLD (SO IN-GAME STEALING ETC. CAN BE OK)
 - DEVELOPERS CAN TAKE THEIR BALL HOME IF THEY LIKE
 - PLAYERS DON'T HAVE TO PLAY BALL IF THEY DON'T WANT TO

FREEDOM OF SPEECH

- PLAYER RIGHTS CAN THUS BE SUMMARISED AS "IF YOU DON'T LIKE IT. LEAVE"
- · BUT WHAT IF THEY CAN'T LEAVE?
 - "HEY, YOU ADDICTED ME, YOU HAVE TO ACCEPT YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES"
 - ALSO, WHAT ABOUT YOUR SOCIAL CAPITAL?
- WELL TOUGH LUCK! FREEDOM OF SPEECH LAWS PROTECT DEVELOPERS HERE
 - BUT ONLY IN COUNTRIES THAT HAVE THEM
- AND WHAT ABOUT REAL-WORLD HARM?
 - "A RAPE IN CYBERSPACE"

ELSEWHERE I

- . THERE'S NO CASE LAW IN THE EU OR USA YET
- THERE IS SOME IN CHINA AND KOREA
 - ONE GUY SUCCESSFULLY SUED TO GET HIS STUFF BACK AFTER HIS ACCOUNT WAS HACKED
 - £780 IN COMPENSATION PLUS £780 FOR MENTAL ANGUISH
 - A JAPANESE WOMAN DELETED HER EX-BOYFRIEND'S CHARACTER
 - NOW IN COURT ON LAWS DESIGNED TO COMBAT HACKING
 - "I DID IT AS REVENGE FOR BREAKING UP WITH ME"

ELSEWHERE 2

- · OTHER **NEAR** LAW SUITS
 - CUSTODY BATTLES FOR VIRTUAL PROPERTY
 - GUILDS RIPPING OFF THEIR MEMBERS
 - CUSTOMER SERVICE REPS SELLING STUFF THEY HAD THE POWERS TO CREATE FROM **THIN AIR**
 - PARENTS INSISTING THAT THE CHARACTER THEY
 BOUGHT FOR THEIR SON'S BIRTHDAY BE
 RESURRECTED AFTER HE GOT IT KILLED WITHIN 10
 MINUTES OF STARTING TO PLAY USING IT

SUMMARY

- THERE IS A **VIBRANT** TRADE IN VIRTUAL OBJECTS
 - IGE ESTIMATES \$880 WORLDWIDE,
- MOST PLAYERS ARE AGAINST THIS TRADE
 - BECAUSE IT SPOILS THEIR FUN
- MOST DEVELOPERS ARE AGAINST IT TOO
 - AND NOT JUST BECAUSE THEIR PLAYERS ARE
- THERE'S NO PROBLEM IF DEVELOPERS WANT TO EMBRACE COMMODIFICATION
- THE PROBLEM IS WHEN THEY DON'T WANT IT BUT GET IT ANYWAY
- · AS ALWAYS, IT'S A GOOD TIME TO BE A LAWYER...