VIRTUAL WORLDS, REAL LAW

EYE-POPPING STUFF FOR LAWYERS

23RD FEBRUARY 2005

DR RICHARD A. BARTLE VISITING PROFESSOR

ESE

INTRODUCTION

- UNLIKE PRETTY WELL EVERYONE ELSE HERE, I CAN HONESTLY SAY **IANAL**
 - I'M A COMPUTER GAMES DESIGNER
- · MY SPECIALIST FIELD IS VIRTUAL WORLDS
 - DON'T WORRY, I **SHALL** EXPLAIN WHAT THESE ARE
- RIGHT NOW, VIRTUAL WORLDS ARE AT THE CUTTING EDGE OF INTERNET LAW
- THIS IS BECAUSE THERE IS NO PRECEDENT WHATSOEVER FOR THEM
 - OR THERE IS, BUT IT DENIES ITS OWN VALIDITY..!
- · YOUR CHANCE TO AFFECT LAW-MAKING FOREVER!

HISTORY

· VIRTUAL WORLDS BEGAN WITH MUD1 IN 1978

```
Narrow road between lands.

You are stood on a narrow road between The Land and whence you came.
To the north and south are the small foothills of a pair of majestic mountains, with a large wall running round. To the west the road continues, where in the distance you can see a thatched cottage opposite an ancient cemetery. The way out is to the east, where a shroud of mist covers the secret pass by which you entered The Land. It is raining.

*W

Narrow road.

You are on a narrow east-west road with a forest to the north and Gorse scrub to the south. It is raining. A splendid necklace lies on the ground.

*
```

- TEXT-BASED, UP TO 36 PLAYERS SIMULTANEOUSLY
- CREATED RIGHT HERE AT ESSEX UNIVERSITY
 - ROY TRUBSHAW AND RICHARD BARTLE (THAT'S ME)

ASCII GRAPHICS

· GAMES SOON APPEARED WITH primitive GRAPHICS

· ISLAND OF KESMAI, 1981

20 GRAPHICS

· 20 GRAPHICAL GAMES SHOWED UP IN THE EARLY 1990S



KINGDOM OF DRAKKAR, 1992

• BUT IN 1994 (IMMEDIATELY PRE-WWW), 10% OF ALL INTERNET TRAFFIC BELONGED TO TEXT MUDS

OLTIMA ONLINE

· THINGS CHANGED WITH ULTIMA ONLINE, 1997



STATS

- PEOPLE PAY A MONTHLY FEE TO PLAY ULTIMA ONLINE
 - \$12.99 A MONTH AT THE MOMENT
- IT GARNERED 100.000 SUBSCRIBERS WITHIN A YEAR
- NOW, 8 YEARS LATER, IT HAS SOMETHING LIKE
 170,000 SUBSCRIBERS
 - AFTER PEAKING AT 250,000 IN 2003
- · IT STILL TAKES OVER \$2,000,000 A MONTH
 - AND IT'S BEEN RUNNING FOR NEARLY 100 MONTHS!
- UO MADE REGULAR COMPUTER GAMERS NOTICE VIRTUAL WORLDS
 - AND REGULAR COMPUTER GAME DEVELOPERS...

EVERQUEST

· ULTIMA ONLINE RULED UNTIL EVERQUEST, SPRING 1999



STATS

- EVERQUEST HAS AROUND 420,000 SUBSCRIBERS
 - THAT'S MORE PEOPLE THAN ICELAND!
- · OVER \$5,000,000 A MONTH IN SUBSCRIPTIONS
- · SUPPORTS ACTION FIGURES AND COMICS





- · NEW RELEASES ARE CHALLENGING ITS CROWN
 - WOW 350K, SW:G 275K, EQ2 310K, DAOC 250K, ...

BUT ELSEWHERE...

• EQ IS JUST A PIPSQUEAK COMPARED TO LINEAGE



· LINEAGE (1997) HAS OVER 2 MILLION PLAYERS

STATS

- LINEAGE AND LINEAGE 2 HAVE A COMBINED POPULATION GREATER THAN THAT OF EIRE
 - 2.1 MILLION EACH
- 50% OF THE POPULATION OF SOUTH KOREA HAVE TRIED LINEAGE AT LEAST ONCE
 - POPULATION OF S. KOREA IS ~48 MILLION
- OTHER >1 MILLION FAR EASTERN VIRTUAL WORLDS:
 - LEGEND OF MIR
 - FINAL FANTASY XI
 - MU ONLINE
 - RAGNAROK ONLINE
 - KINGDOM OF THE WINDS

ARCHITECTURE

- ONE FINAL THING I NEED TO EXPLAIN IS HOW THESE "GAMES" ARE IMPLEMENTED
- THE GAME WORLD IS RUN ON COMPUTERS OWNED AND OPERATED BY THE DEVELOPER
 - THE DEVELOPER RUNS MANY SUCH "SERVERS"
 - LARGE GAMES HAVE 40-50, EACH MADE UP OF 4-10 COMPUTERS, OFTEN PARTITIONED GEOGRAPHICALLY
- THE SERVER TALKS TO THE CLIENT SOFTWARE THAT RUNS ON EACH PLAYER'S PC
 - ACCEPTS THE PLAYER'S COMMANDS
 - DRAWS WHAT THE SERVER TELLS IT TO DRAW

OK, SO THE LAW...

- NOW THAT I'VE GIVEN A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF WHAT VIRTUAL WORLDS ARE, AND WHY THEY'RE BECOMING NOTICEABLE, AM I AT LAST GOING TO GET ONTO SOME LAW STUFF?
- · YES!
 - JURISDICTION
 - PROPERTY
 - COMMODIFICATION
 - INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
 - PLAYER RIGHTS
- · WELL, ALMOST YES...

ONE LAST THING...

- THIS SHOULD BE OBVIOUS, BUT JUST IN CASE IT ISN'T...
- · VIRTUAL WORLDS ARE NOT THE REAL WORLD
- THINGS ILLEGAL IN THE REAL WORLD MAY BE OK IN THE VIRTUAL WORLD
- · IT'S ILLEGAL FOR PEOPLE TO MURDER PEOPLE
 - BUT IT MAY BE FINE FOR A CHARACTER TO MURDER A CHARACTER
- · ANY REAL-WORLD ILLEGAL THING YOU CAN SUGGEST, I CAN COUNTER WITH A REASON WHY IT MIGHT BE VALID IN A VIRTUAL WORLD

JURISDICTION

- I KNOW WHAT YOU'RE THINKING...
 - IF SOMEONE IS IN AMERICA AND THEY BLASPHEME AT SOMEONE IN ENGLAND ON A SERVER IN CANADA, WHICH NATIONAL LAW APPLIES?
- THIS IS RELATIVELY OLD HAT, YES
 - AS IS THE FACT THAT THE SERVER COULD BE DISTRIBUTED ACROSS SEVERAL COMPUTERS IN SEVERAL COUNTRIES, SUCH THAT YOU DON'T EVEN KNOW WHERE THE CODE IS BEING EXECUTED
- . THERE'S MORE TO IT, THOUGH!
- IN PARTICULAR, THERE'S AN ARGUMENT THAT RL LAWS HAVE NO JURISDICTION AT ALL!

LET'S PLAY A GAME...

- I NEED TWO VOLUNTEERS
- · I) TAKE IT IN TURN TO SAY WORDS
- 2) TAKE IT IN TURN TO SAY WORDS ON THE SAME SUBJECT (EG. UK CITY NAMES)
- 3) TAKE IT IN TURN TO SAY WORDS ON THE SAME SUBJECT (UK CITY NAMES), WHERE:
 - YOU CAN'T REPEAT WORDS
 - EACH WORD MUST **START** WITH THE SAME LETTER THAT **ENDED** THE PREVIOUS WORD
 - YOUR OPPONENT WINS IF YOU CAN'T THINK OF A NAME
 - OR IF YOU SAY A NAME ENDING IN M, R OR Y

PLAY

- WHAT THIS SHOWS IS A PARADO

 ABOUT GAMES
- YOU COULD HAVE PLAYED THE 3RD GAME UNDER THE IST OR 2ND SET OF RULES, BUT YOU DIDN'T
- ONLY WHEN **ADDITIONAL** RULES WERE INTRODUCED DID YOUR IMAGINATION LET RIP
 - THE EXTRA RULES MADE IT MORE FUN
- . TOO MANY RULES WOULD MAKE IT UNFUN, THOUGH
- WHEN THEY PLAY GAMES, PLAYERS AGREE TO RESTRICT
 THEIR BEHAVIOUR IN ORDER TO GAIN freedoms THEY
 DIDN'T HAVE WHEN NOT RESTRICTED!
- DOES THIS RING ANY BELLS?

RULES

- GAMES, LIKE LEGAL SYSTEMS, OPERATE USING RULES
- WHILE EVERYONE OBEYS THE RULES, THE GAME WORKS
 - TECHNICAL TERM IS "MAGIC CIRCLE"
- · WHEN YOU BREAK THE RULES, THAT'S CHEATING
- · BUT WHO HAS THE AUTHORITY TO MAKE THE RULES?
 - REAL LIFE: "WE, THE PEOPLE"
 - GAMES: "WE, THE PLAYERS"
- GAMES PLAYERS OBEY RULES FOR THE SAME REASON
 CITIZENS DO BECAUSE THEY SET THE RULES
 - AND THEY DON'T HAVE AN ARMY TO ENFORCE THEM

JURISDICTION?

- · RW: WE DON'T LIKE WHAT YOU'RE DOING, STOP IT
- . VW: WELL WE DO LIKE IT, SO WHY SHOULD WE?
- · RW: YOU'RE BREAKING THE LAW
- · VW: WHY DO WE HAVE TO FOLLOW YOUR LAWS?
- RW: BECAUSE THIS IS A DEMOCRACY
- · VW: SO'S THIS, AND WITH 100% SUPPORT
- · RW: IF YOU DON'T OBEY THE LAW, WE'LL LOCK YOU UP
- · VW: THAT'S TYRANNY, NOT DEMOCRACY!
- · RW: GUARDS! OFF WITH THEIR HEADS!
- [MORE ON THIS LATER]

PROPERTY

- NOW FOR SOMETHING RATHER MORE CONCRETE
- CHARACTERS IN VIRTUAL WORLDS OWN THINGS WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE VIRTUAL WORLD
 - SWORDS, HOUSES, MAGIC WANDS, ...
- · DO THE PLAYERS OWN THESE THINGS
- DO THE PLAYERS OWN THE CHARACTERS?
- AT THE TIME OF WRITING, THERE'S A CHARACTER FROM STAR WARS: GALAXIES FOR SALE ON EBAY FOR \$2.000
 - THIS IS ACTUALLY ABOUT \$500 MORE THAN THE GOING RATE

WIDESPREAD

- · ALSO ON EBAY:
 - GEMSTONE IV LEVEL 96 RANGER \$911
 - WORLD OF WARCRAFT LEVEL 60 ROGUE \$899
 - DARK AGE OF CAMELOT LEVEL 50 (LERIC \$800
 - ANARCHY ONLINE 220 FIXER \$540
 - AND HUNDREDS MORE
- IN-GAME CURRENCY ALSO FOR SALE
 - EVE ONLINE 3 BILLION ISK \$800
 - SHADOWBANE 100 MILLION GOLD \$700
- THOSE ARE CURRENT BIDS THEY'LL ACTUALLY
 SELL FOR MORE

OTHER SALES

- THINK ABOUT THIS A MOMENT: PEOPLE ARE PAYING
 REAL MONEY TO BUY IMAGINARY MONEY
- · ALSO IMAGINARY PROPERTY:
 - MU ONLINE THE 2 BEST EXCELLENT RINGS \$450
 - ANARCHY ONLINE ROBUST BACKPACK \$172.50
 - ULTIMA ONLINE HAT OF THE MAGI \$164.99
- AND IMAGINARY REAL ESTATE:
 - ULTIMA ONLINE VENDOR PROPERTY NEXT TO BANK \$450
- IN DECEMBER AN ISLAND FOR SALE IN PROJECT ENTROPIA SOLD FOR \$26,500
 - THAT'S \$26.500

ANALYSIS

- · EBAY IS **NOT** THE MAIN MARKETPLACE
- · IGE HAS 100 PEOPLE IN CUSTOMER SERVICE ALONE
- ITEMBAY MAKES MORE MONEY IN COMMISSIONS THAN ALL THE VIRTUAL WORLDS IT SERVICES DO IN SUBSCRIPTIONS COMBINED!
- IF YOU ADD UP ALL THESE SALES OF VIRTUAL GOODS, THEY MAKE FOR A COMBINED GDP THAN THAT OF NAMIBIA
 - THEY'LL OVERTAKE JAMAICA SOMETIME THIS YEAR
- GDP PER CAPITA IS ON A PAR WITH THAT OF RUSSIA

BUT

- THE THING IS, MOST VIRTUAL WORLDS BAN VIRTUAL OBJECT SALES
- . MAIN REASON: IT'S CHEATING
 - THE MONOPOLY EXAMPLE
- "I WANT TO TRADE, YOU WANT TO TRADE, WE BOTH GAIN. WHAT'S NOT TO LOVE?"
- IT RUINS THE GAME FOR THE 90% OF PLAYERS WHO DON'T TRADE IN VIRTUAL GOODS, THAT'S WHAT!
- BUT IS SUCH A BAN LEGAL?
 - EVERY PLAYER SIGNED AN **EULA** ACCEPTING THE BAN
 - THE PLAYERS DON'T OWN WHAT THEY'RE SELLING ANYWAY
- · OR DO THEY?

COMMODIFICATION

- AS FAR AS SOME PEOPLE ARE CONCERNED, IF IT LOOKS LIKE A DUCK, WALKS LIKE A DUCK AND QUACKS LIKE A DUCK, IT'S A DUCK
- VIRTUAL GOODS LOOK LIKE REAL GOODS, ARE
 TRADED LIKE REAL GOODS, SO REAL PROPERTY
 LAWS SHOULD APPLY
 - AND REAL TAX LAWS?
- "THERE WASN'T A LEVEL 50 BATTLEMAGE IN THE BOX WHEN I BOUGHT IT. I MADE IT, SO IT'S MINE"
- UNFORTUNATELY, IF THIS WERE TRUE THEN VIRTUAL WORLDS WOULD DIE

IMPLICATIONS

- IF I BOUGHT A UNIQUE MAGIC SWORD FOR \$1,000 AND THE NEXT DAY THE DEVELOPER CREATED 20,000 IDENTICAL SWORDS, COULD | SUE?
- IF I HAVE INVENTORY | COULD SELL FOR \$250,000 AND THE DEVELOPER ANNOUNCES THEY'RE GOING TO CLOSE THE GAME, CAN | FORCE THEM TO STOP?
 - ON MARCH 15TH 2004, EBAY USER SHAKTI_122 PAID \$3,000 FOR AN ACCOUNT ON **EARTH AND BEYOND**
 - ON MARCH 16^{TH} 2004, ELECTRONIC ARTS ANNOUNCED THEY WERE **CLOSING** EARTH & BEYOND
 - EA ALSO ANNOUNCED THEY WOULD NOT BE LICENSING THE SOFTWARE TO ANYONE

WHY WOULD THIS BE BAD?

- IF PLAYERS COULD SUE IF THEY LOST SOME OF THEIR INVESTMENT, VIRTUAL WORLDS COULD NEVER EVOLVE
 - EVERY CHANGE AFFECTS SOMEONE ADVERSELY
 - "NERFING"
- WOULD ROB DESIGNERS OF ARTISTIC FREEDOM.
 - J. K. ROWLING COULD LEGALLY DISTRESS MILLIONS
 BY KILLING OFF HARRY POTTER
 - WHY CAN'T VIRTUAL WORLD DESIGNERS UPSET A FEW PLAYERS WHO BUILT THEIR VIRTUAL HOUSES ON THE SLOPES OF A VOLCANO?
- WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO DEVELOP A GAME WITH A THEME SUCH AS "ESCAPE FROM COLDITZ"

IT GETS WORSE

- SOME PLAYERS WHO "FARM" GOLD OR OBJECTS CAN
 TIE UP THE MARKET
 - IF YOU WANT SOMETHING, THE ONLY WAY TO GET IT IS FROM ANOTHER PLAYER WHO HAS A PROGRAM RUNNING THE WHOLE TIME GETTING IT AUTOMATICALLY
- PLAYERS WANT THESE PEOPLE BANNED
 - CHINESE ADENA FARMERS IN LINEAGE 2
- YET DARK AGE OF CAMELOT WAS SUED FOR BANNING ACCOUNTS RUN BY BLACK SNOW
 - A COMPANY RUNNING A GOLD FARM OUT OF TIJUANA
 - NEVER WENT TO COURT
 - · BLACK SNOW DIDN'T PAY THEIR LAWYERS..

COTTAGE INDUSTRY

• FOR SALE RECENTLY ON EBAY: ONE UO GOLD FARM!



IΡ

- VIRTUAL CHARACTERS AND OBJECTS ARE JUST COLLECTIONS OF BITS IN A DATABASE
- BUT A painting IS JUST A COLLECTION OF FITCHS ON A CANVAS
- IF I, AS A PLAYER, CREATE A CHARACTER, DO I OWN ITS IMAGE?
- · NO, BECAUSE I SIGNED THE EULA SAYING I DIDN'T
- YES, BECAUSE | HAVE MORAL RIGHTS TO MY IP THAT | CAN'T SIGN AWAY
 - AT LEAST UNDER EU LAW I CAN IN THE USA
- "MICROSOFT DOESN'T OWN STUFF | WRITE IN WORD"

WHY NOT LET PLAYERS KEEP IP?

- IF PLAYERS KEEP IP, THEY CAN CONTROL ITS USE
 - YOU CAN'T SHOW MY CHARACTER IN YOUR SCREENSHOTS
 - DON'T REMOVE MY CHARACTER EVEN THOUGH I STOPPED PAYING MY SUBSCRIPTION
 - THAT ADVERT FOR MY CAR IS MY IP AND YOU'VE NO RIGHT TO DEFACE IT
 - PAY ME A ROYALTY FOR RENDERING MY CHARACTER!
 - REMOVE THIS WHOLE AREA AND ALL THIS FUNCTIONALITY | CREATED
 - (DID | MENTION THAT PLAYERS (AN ADD PROGRAMMING CODE AND OBJECTS TO SOME VIRTUAL WORLDS?)

AN ACTUAL CASE!

- NCSOFT (WHO DEVELOPED LINEAGE) HAVE A 2004 GAME CALLED CITY OF HEROES
- SET IN A HOME-GROWN COMIC BOOK UNIVERSE





BUT...

- · BUT MARVEL COMICS HAVE SUED NCSOFT!
- REASON: IT'S POSSIBLE TO CREATE CHARACTERS THAT LOOK LIKE MARVEL CHARACTERS
 - NCSOFT DOESN'T AUTOMATICALLY FILTER THEM OUT
 - (ALTHOUGH IT DOES FILTER OUT NAMES, EG. WOLVERINE)
- · VERY HARD TO FILTER BY APPEARANCE
 - MARVEL HAVE OVER 4,000 REGISTERED CHARACTERS
- · HAS TO BE DONE MANUALLY
 - NCSOFT FOUND 44 VIOLATIONS FROM THEIR 125K PLAYERS
 - · AND 5 OF THOSE WERE CREATED BY MARVEL THEMSELVES...
- BUT NCSOFT CLAIMS COPYRIGHT ON USER CREATIONS!

DUMB MARVEL?

- IF MARVEL WIN, THEY ESTABLISH THAT MEANINGFUL IP CAN BE HELD IN VIRTUAL CHARACTERS
- SO THE NEXT CHARACTER THAT MARVEL CREATES HAD BETTER NOT MATCH ANY OF COH'S 125,000?
 - TIMES UP TO 8, BECAUSE EACH PLAYER GETS 8 SLOTS
- BUT WHY DON'T THEY SUE KIDS FOR DRESSING UP AS SPIDERMAN? OR FOR DRAWING THE INCREDIBLE HULK?
- IT'S NOT LIKE NCSOFT ARE **SELLING** THESE CHARACTERS
 - IT'S MORE LIKE THEY'RE SELLING A BIG BOX OF CRAYONS

ALS0

- CITY OF HEROES ISN'T THE ONLY GAME WITH A POWERFUL CHARACTER CREATION SYSTEM
- · STAR SPANGLED KID (DC COMICS):



LONG STANDING

- THIS SORT OF THING HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR DECADES
 - NEARLY EVERY TEXT MUD HAS SOME CHARACTER CALLED GANDALF
- · IF MARVEL WIN, WHAT OTHER DOORS WILL OPEN?
 - THERE'S A CHARACTER IN *MORROWIND* WHO LOOKS JUST LIKE MY NIECE NICOLA
- YET NCSOFT IS CLAIMING COPYRIGHT ON IMAGES CREATED BY THEIR PLAYERS
 - EVEN THOUGH CREATING COPIES OF COPYRIGHT CHARACTERS IS AGAINST NCSOFT'S EULA
- OK. THAT'S ENOUGH ABOUT PROPERTY...

RIGHTS OF THE AVATAR I

- RAPH KOSTER'S THOUGHT EXPERIMENT:
 - WHAT IF AVATARS (CHARACTERS) HAD RIGHTS?
- REDRAFTED U.S. BILL OF RIGHTS AND FRANCE'S DECLARATION OF THE RIGHTS OF MAN IN TERMS OF AVATARS
 - WWW.LEGENDMUD.ORG/RAPH/PLAYERRIGHTS.HTML
- ACTUALLY, AVATARS HAVE NO RIGHTS
 - KOSTER WAS USING THE THOUGHT EXPERIMENT TO SEE WHAT RIGHTS **PLAYERS** HAD
 - · THIS IS THEREFORE AN OFT-MISUNDERSTOOD PAPER!

RIGHTS OF THE AVATAR 2

- THE ORIGINAL DRAFT OF THE PAPER WENT
 DOWN LIKE A LEAD ZEPPELIN
- KOSTER REWROTE IT AS "ADVICE TO ADMINS"
 - SOMEONE'S FINGER IS ON THE POWER BUTTON
 - WHAT THIS SOMEONE SAYS GOES
 - IF THIS SOMEONE DOESN'T PROVIDE A CODE OF CONDUCT. THEIR PLAYERS DESERVE ALL THEY GET
 - PLAYERS SHOULD BE CONSULTED OVER CHANGES TO THE CODE OF CONDUCT, BUT CAN BE IGNORED
 - CODES OF CONDUCT SHOULD BE FAIR AND SHOULD BE APPLIED FAIRLY

RIGHTS OF THE AVATAR 3

- SO WHAT DOES THIS MEAN IN TERMS OF ACTUAL RIGHTS OF PLAYERS?
 - PLAYERS HAVE RIGHTS IN THE REAL WORLD, OF WHICH THE VW IS A PART
 - IN CONSIDERING RIGHTS, VWS SHOULD ONLY BE THOUGHT OF IN TERMS OF BEING PART OF THE REAL WORLD (SO IN-GAME STEALING ETC. CAN BE OK)
 - DEVELOPERS CAN TAKE THEIR BALL HOME IF THEY LIKE
 - PLAYERS DON'T HAVE TO PLAY BALL IF THEY DON'T WANT TO

FREEDOM OF SPEECH I

- PLAYER RIGHTS CAN THUS BE SUMMARISED AS "IF YOU DON'T LIKE IT, LEAVE"
- BUT WHAT IF THEY CAN'T LEAVE?
 - "HEY, YOU ADDICTED ME, YOU HAVE TO ACCEPT YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES"
- WELL TOUGH LUCK! FREEDOM OF SPEECH LAWS PROTECT DEVELOPERS HERE
 - BUT ONLY IN COUNTRIES THAT HAVE THEM
- AND WHAT ABOUT REAL-WORLD HARM?
 - "A RAPE IN CYBERSPACE"

FREEDOM OF SPEECH 2

- IF A VW DEVELOPER DELIBERATELY KILLED A CHARACTER SO AS TO TRAUMATISE ITS PLAYER, THAT WOULD BE WRONG
- UNEXPECTED CONTEXT SHIFTS CAN ALSO FALL FOUL OF THE LAW
 - READERS OF HARRY POTTER HAVE EXPECTATIONS
 - HARRY **DOESN'T** GET TO SMOKE DOPE AND SHAG HERMIONE (OR *VICE VERSA*)
 - BUT IF THE BOOK WARNED YOU ON ITS COVER THAT IT WAS #-RATED, IT WOULD BE OK?

COMMON CARRIER

- PLAYERS ALSO HAVE FREEDOM OF SPEECH
 - UNFORTUNATELY, THEY CAN BE RATHER TOO FREE...
- COMMON CARRIER LAWS PROTECT MEDIA OWNERS FROM THE WORDS OF THEIR USERS
 - BT ISN'T LIABLE IF YOU SLANDER SOMEONE BY PHONE
- BUT WHAT IF YOU ABUSE PEOPLE IN A VW?
 - VW WILL WANT TO GET RID OF YOU ASAP
 - BUT THAT MEANS THERE'S AN EDITING PROCESS
 - WHICH IN TURN MEANS COMMON CARRIER LAWS DON'T APPLY..!

PLAYER RIGHTS

- SOME SUGGESTION THAT SOCIAL CAPITAL MAKES VIRTUAL WORLDS LIKE A COMPANY TOWN
- THIS WOULD MEAN DEVELOPERS CAN'T EVICT PLAYERS
 FOR WHAT THEY SAY
- PLAYERS COULD THEREFORE:
 - SPOIL THE GAME'S SETTING
 - · SHOUT OUT SOCCER SCORES IN A TOLKIEN WORLD
 - ADVERTISE OTHER GAMES
 - . OR, MORE LIKELY, PORN SITES
 - PICKET **NEWBIE** AREAS
 - SOLICIT VOTES FOR REAL-WORLD ELECTIONS

BACK TO GOVERNANCE

- "CODE IS LAW"
 - LAWRENCE LESSIG
 - · ACTUALLY QUOTING WILLIAM J. MITCHELL
- THE KIND OF LAW IS "LAW OF NATURE", THOUGH, NOT "LAW OF THE LAND"
- SOME PLAYERS FEEL THAT IF THE VIRTUAL WORLD DOESN'T STOP THEM FROM DOING SOMETHING, IT MUST BE ALLOWED
 - BECAUSE OTHERWISE THE DEVELOPERS COULD CODE IT OUT, RIGHT?
- NO, THEY COULDN'T. TRIVIAL EXAMPLE: PROFANITY

SOLUTION?

- DEVELOPERS HANDLE THIS BY CODING EXTRA POWERS TO THEMSELVES
 - "SURE THE CODE LETS YOU DO THAT, BUT YOU MIGHT LIKE TO RECONSIDER BECAUSE IT ALSO LETS ME DO THIS!"
 - THEREFORE THEY'RE MORE LIKE GODS THAN GOVERNMENTS

 · IMPORTANT: THIS IS WHETHER THEY LIKE IT OR NOT!
- MOVES TO democratise VIRTUAL WORLDS ARE THEREFORE DOOMED
 - SOMEONE IS ALWAYS A GOD
- · BUT THE REAL WORLD IS A STAKE-HOLDER
 - PLAYERS LIVE IN BOTH THE REAL AND THE VIRTUAL WORLD

ELSEWHERE I

- . THERE'S NO CASE LAW IN THE EU OR USA YET
- · THERE IS SOME IN CHINA AND KOREA
 - ONE GUY SUCCESSFULLY SUED TO GET HIS STUFF BACK AFTER HIS ACCOUNT WAS HACKED
 - £780 IN COMPENSATION PLUS £780 FOR MENTAL ANGUISH
 - A WOMAN **DELETED** HER EX-BOYFRIEND'S CHARACTER
 - "I DID IT AS REVENGE FOR BREAKING UP WITH ME"
 - SOPHOS CAUGHT A VIRUS WRITTEN SPECIFICALLY TO SNAFFLE LINEAGE PASSWORDS
 - SERVER SOFTWARE STOLEN AND CLONED IN CHINA

ELSEWHERE 2

- . OTHER NEAR LAW SUITS
 - CUSTODY BATTLES FOR VIRTUAL PROPERTY
 - GUILDS RIPPING OFF THEIR MEMBERS
 - CUSTOMER SERVICE REPS SELLING STUFF THEY HAD THE POWERS TO CREATE FROM **THIN AIR**
- SOME SPECIFIC-TO-GAMES LEGISLATION
 - THAILAND BANNED VIRTUAL WORLD SERVERS FROM OPERATING BETWEEN 22:00 AND 06:00
 - KOREA INTRODUCES **RATING** SYSTEM FOR VIRTUAL WORLDS, AND CONSIDERS **PKING** AS A FACTOR

SUMMARY

- THIS IS MY 49TH SLIDE, AND I'VE BARELY SCRATCHED THE SURFACE
- THERE'S A LOT OF WORK GOING ON RIGHT NOW IN THIS AREA
 - LEGAL SCHOLARS HAVE EMBRACED THE STUDY OF VIRTUAL WORLDS LIKE NO OTHER FIELD HAS
 - PSYCHOLOGY, AI, GENDER STUDIES, DRAMA STUDIES, SOCIOLOGY, ... ACTUALLY, THE GEOGRAPHERS WEREN'T SO BAD
 - ANNUAL STATE OF PLAY CONFERENCE AT NYLS
- · AS ALWAYS, IT'S A GOOD TIME TO BE A LAWYER!